

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,084	WILLIAMS, KEVIN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Renata McCloud	2837	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Renata McCloud.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Albert Deaver.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2005
**Time:** 4:30
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

3-20

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the limitation "common" needed to be cancelled from the claims, since it was not a structural limitation. The examiner also indicated that claims 3-20 should be cancelled since prosecution was closed under Ex parte Quayle. Att. Deaver agreed to the changes by examiner's amendment.